

PCH IPv6 Deployment

July 2009, Version 1.1 Gaurab Raj Upadhaya gaurab@pch.net

Background

- We operate an anycast DNS Network, about 60 odd ccTLDs and gTLDs
- Spread out over 40+ locations
- We have had v6 unicast on two nodes since 2005
- But, then in early 2007 decided we should go the full way



Enough said, and will be said

Anycast

 This is not IPv6 Anycast as defined in RFC 2526 (amongst others), but anycast as described in RFC 4786

Same prefix announced from multiple location, so that the clients connect to the topologically closest node

Get ARIN space

we got two /48

• Well, a /47

The prefix comes from the 'critical infrastructure' ARIN space

2001:500:14::/47

One /48 for Anycasting ccTLD

Second /48 for Management

Address Planning

- First /48 Anycast subnet : 2001:500:14::/48
- Second /48 Management : 2001:500:15::/48
 - We distribute a /56 per POP, use /64 per lan/vlan

Mapped with POP ID

We use /64 for tunnel end-points, end site networks get /56s.

Map the bits in /56 to some of the bits in /64.

Address Management

Idea was to make it easy for us to see v6 address and relate to other existing numbers/IDs to relate to them

Tooling wasn't there to automate things we'd otherwise automate for v4.

Issues

- It took a long time to plan and prepare
 - But once started, deployment was quick.
- Servers needed upgrading
- We decided that we'd do global rollout only with the new hardware (OS platform was also changing)
- Routers needed the right IOS and there were changes on the router CLI, means we also had to re-tool for routers

Quagga

- Quagga is important for us
 - The servers talk to the next-hop router through Quagga, We also use quagga for some peering
 - We want to use the same versions of quagga
 - Upgrading to the latest (0.99.10) seemed to have fixed many issues
- Weird Randomness
 - IPv6 patch seem to break MD5 functionality now fixed
 - We still set session to 'passive' to JunOS boxes
 - Still have issues with peers with old version of Quagga

BIND and NSD

BIND and NSD were least of the problems

- Worked almost out of the box
- We are not yet using v6 transport for AXFR/IXFRs etc
- None of the zone operators have even given us a v6 master address

Going Production

- First was to go public with our global nodes in Bay Area, Ashburn, London, Paris and Hongkong.
- Added transit from HE, to the one we had from NTT/Verio
 - Will soon add TATA

Add entries in RADB (again another tooling need)

Going Live

- We put everything in place, before informing the zone operators
- Early movers identified and informed. They ran tests, confirmed things look good, informed IANA, done
- Inform all other zone operators
- For at least 12 operators, it was their first v6 secondary, for 11, it's still their only v6 server
 - Not all have updated IANA though
 - Ongoing process

Peering

- Again, takes time to get v6 address from IXP operators, it's not yet 'production' for every one
- Don't assume that because you went production, everyone else is ready
- Not all of our current IXPs will do v6
- In at least one location, we have no v6peers
- www.pch.net/peering

Getting IXP v6 addresses

Three of those

- Easy, just part of the regular allocation (and in fact we already had the v6 on the IXP for many years, without us knowing)
- Moderately Easy. Hmm! this is still an 'engineering project', can you fill the form out? and then we get the address.
- No Response, or something along the lines of 'oh Yeah, we're thinking of it.'

IXP Formulas

- Using ASN : Ten; e.g 2001:7F8:1::A500:42:1
- Using ASN in Hex : Three ; e.g 2001:7F8:4:0::2A:1
- Use v4 last octet : Six; e.g 2001:43F8:60:1::122
 (where v4 is 198.32.144.122)
- v4 last octet, but HEX : Three ; e.g 2001:504:D::35
 (where v4 is 198.32.176.53)
- Nothing Specific, next in Queue : Two

Side effect

I know now that

- 2A = 42
- F10 = 3856
- 1B1B = 6939

Ongoing

- Upgrade all sites 30 ready with v6
- Tooling for everything
- Monitoring / logging / scripting all needs to be done

Conclusion

Planning and Preparation took longer then we thought

Routing, DNS etc is easy

- It's the tooling and planning that takes time
- So it'd be good to see some nice tools for v6 (like say reverse DNS)
- There is still lack of support for extended tools for IPv6
 - Flows, SNMP



Questions

gaurab@pch.net

PCH is a 501(c) non profit organization