
# Open source self-hosted mesh VPN with IPv6!

### Anurag Bhatia, Hurricane Electric

### What is VPN?

### What is VPN?

- Virtual Private Network
- "Virtual" = Virtual in nature and an overlay on top of existing "physical networks"
- Can be using different technologies on layer 2 or layer 3
- Not always but mostly encrypts traffic
- Layer 3 / IP based VPN have become very popular over time
- Can be point to point or mesh or a mix




Anurag Bhatia - Open source self-hosted mesh VPN with IPv6 - SANOG 39 - Dhaka, Bangladesh

### Problems in traditional setup


- VPN server can be a bottleneck as all traffic passes through it
- Latency to the internet becomes an issue specially if server is located far away
- Does not play well with locally hosted CDNs of content players of the network operators

### Split tunnel setup...



### Good and bad with split tunnels


- Do not slow down non-internal i.e internet traffic
- Save bandwidth requirement, latency & management of VPN gateway
- Inject only required routes but dependending on type of VPN these routes can be hardcoded in client config or pushed on the fly
- Better than old tunnels but still following hub-spoke model for internal traffic, have issues in scaling up where internal apps are spread across different datacenters, cloud players...



### About multiple VPN appliances....

- Usually routing over the internet has a much better path then transit a bunch of networks via appliances
- The best and ideal setup is to connect all clients to all gateways but that makes config harder
- Becomes an administrative issue to ask all clients to update config if a new region comes up
- Some clients do not even support maintaining more than one end point

### Ideal and most efficient topology...




### How many tunnels?

### Config complexity

- Number of tunnels = n \* (n-1) / 2 i.e for 4 devices, (4 x 3) / 2 = 6 tunnels and thus (4 \* 3) 12 "endpoints" to configure for VPN
- 2. 12 endpoints to configure for firewall rules (if not 12, atleast 6 so that one side can initiate connection)
- 3. What if some clients have IPv4, some have IPv6? Setup multiple tunnels or stick to IPv4 and run on old outdated protocol?
- 4. What about client to client communication who are behind NATs?

### Let's talk about the elephant in the room....

### NAT - Network Address Translation




### Misc points about NAT

- Helping in keeping internet running while operators deploy IPv6 under acute IPv4 shortage
- There are max-theoretical limits due to number of ports
- Makes end to end connectivity much harder due to use of double NAT i.e one NAT by carrier (CGNAT) and one at the end user
- Is supposed to (fingers crossed) disappear eventually once everyone supports IPv6
- Client server communication is easily possible when client behind NAT initiates a connection with server which is not behind NAT

### Is peer to peer communication even possible when clients are behind NAT?


### NAT - Network Address Translation




# Yes, more complex to setup but possible!


### Understanding NAT traversal

### Typical setup - both users behind NAT



### Typical setup - both users behind NAT - Green contacts Yellow





Typical setup - both users behind NAT - Yellow contacts Green

# Same in reverse i.e get two way UDP communication working...

### Introduction to headscale

### Headscale

- Open source implementation of (closed source) tailscale control server
- Is self-hosted on a public IP ideally behind https
- VPN clients connect to headscale & open authenticated, they share their public keys with the control server
- Private keys never leave client
- Headscale shares config with everyone creating mesh impact
- Traffic is peer to peer in majority of cases & control traffic is in few bits per second
- System includes concept of DERP servers to manage cases where NAT traversal is impossible
- Supports "advertisement of prefixes" by a participating VPN client with "approval system"
- Clients speak to each other directly over IPv6 when IPv6 is available & fallback to IPv4 when IPv6 is not available
- Headscale essentially offers "control plane" and tailscale client (also open source) uses Wireguard for data plane

# Live demo/pre-recorded video of mesh VPN between 4 devices...

### References

- 1. <u>https://tailscale.com/blog/how-nat-traversal-works</u>
- 2. <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/STUN</u>
- 3. <u>https://github.com/juanfont/headscale</u>
- 4. <u>https://tailscale.com/blog/how-tailscale-works/#encrypted-tcp-relays-derp</u>
- 5. <u>https://github.com/netbirdio/netbird</u>
- 6. https://www.wireguard.com

### Questions? anurag@he.net